



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/493,487	01/	28/2000	Andrew Sharp	34648/00430USPX	34648/00430USPX 2310	
7:	590	09/10/2003				
Richard Mour			EXAMINER NGUYEN, TU X			
Jenkens & Gilc 1445 Ross Ave		•				
Suite 3200				A. D. T. V. D. L. T. L.	D. DED AND (DED	
Dallas, TX 75	202-2799			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2684 DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch

			-					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/493,487	SHARP ET AL.	•					
·	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Tu X Nguyen	2684						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	n in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MP 136(a) and the appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	PEP sion fee fee under set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simpli	ifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which were ne	wly					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 2. Applicants argue, filed 7/24/03 page 8 that Byrne does not teach "the claims contain an element or step for for selecting in the case of that the handover is necessary, which communication or communications are handed over", Byrne teaches "substantially simultaneously communicate with repective radio systems during said handover of a call from the the first radio system to the second radio system for communicating with said respectiv radio systems" (see col.3 lines 56-60). And, with argument respect to claim 39, Byrne discloses in the abstract "Communication with respective radio telephone system may be simultaneously continued during handover until the handover is complete", which reads on "a call being place on hold or the handover of a call placed on hold".

TN 703-30K-3427

PRIMARY EXAMINER